

# Appendix B

Mr D Stegariou  
Princess Banqueting

Your Ref:

Our Ref: Licensing

Date: 12<sup>th</sup> July 2022

## REPRESENTATION LETTER

Dear Sir/Madam,

### **LICENSING ACT 2003 – Application for a New Premises Licence – PRINCESS BANQUETING - 502-508 HIGH ROAD, London N17**

The Licensing Authority are making representation against this application submitted by Mr Stegariou as we believe the applicant is acting on behalf of Mr Alin Mandachi and that the application is being made as means to enable Mr Mandachi to secure a Premises licence that he would find challenging to obtain in his own right.

The applicant has been asked for proof of ownership/occupancy for the premises, this is attached as App A to this representation.

Mr Mandachi /Ms Cornelia Cotlogut have also submitted an application for a Premises licence which has not been determined.

Mr Mandachi & Ms Cotlogut have shown that they do not understand the licensing laws or have regard for upholding the law in the process of operating the venue.

The Licensing Authority RA has request to have direct contact with this applicant, Daniel Stegriou but this has not taken place and Mr Stegariou as been reluctant to engage with the Authority directly.

The application should be refused as the Licensing Authority has no confidence in Mr Stegariou. We do not consider his application to have merit in that he has not shown any interest in addressing any concerns raised.

He has shown no regard to the fact that he has applied for a Premises licence in order to offer licensable activity at the venue, which means being trusted to take on such a responsibility, we have no confidence in Mr Stegariou. Certainly not to be granted a licence with such late hours and the ability to sell alcohol at the premises.

Yours sincerely  
**Licensing Authority RA**



Licensing Authority  
River Park House  
Level 1 North  
225 High Road  
London  
N22 8HQ

Licensing Unit  
Edmonton Police Station  
462 Fore Street,  
London  
N9 0PW

PC Kayleigh Mitcham 1230NA  
Kayleigh.Mitcham@met.police.uk  
[www.met.police.uk](http://www.met.police.uk)

15<sup>th</sup> July 2022

**Representations to reject New Premises License Application at Princess Banqueting Hall, 502-508 High Road, Tottenham, London N17 9JF.**

Dear Licensing Team,

On behalf of the Commissioner of the Metropolis, I wish to make a representation to reject the application of a New Premises License at Princess Banqueting Hall, 502-508 High Road, Tottenham, London N17 9JF. This application is submitted on behalf of a Mr Daniel Mihai Stegariu, which will also show Mr Stegariu as Designated Premises Supervisor.

The objection notice is submitted under the following licensing objectives;

1. Prevention of Crime & Disorder
2. Prevent Public Nuisance
3. Public Safety

The application for a new premises license is not supported by Police whom also wish to submit a representation to reflect this.

The application is made with regards to a large first floor venue, which trades as a wedding banquet hall and is located off of Tottenham High Road, N17 in the borough of Haringey. The premises is seeking the sale of alcohol by retail, late night refreshment and regulated entertainment by way of live and recorded music.

There have been a large number of previous incidents at the premises whereby the licensing objectives have not been upheld and unauthorised licensable activity has taken place. I believe it is important to mention and highlight previous concerns and incidents to show as to why I continue to have reservations around the venue having a license and any link whatsoever to the previous owner, Mr Alin Mandache.

On Sunday 17th October 2021 at 0800 hours, officers had reason to attend the premises for an unrelated matter and found that a party was still ongoing at the premises. The party appeared to still be taking place from the previous night and amplified music was being played loudly from the premises, causing officers in attendance to request further units to attend to assist due to the unknown risk inside. When officers entered the premises they

witnessed alcohol present on tables and being sold from the bar. It was also evident that patrons had been smoking inside the premises. Officers spoke with a Mr Mandache on this occasion who stated he did not need a license for these activities and that those in attendance were simply there to clean, this however was not the case as witnessed by officers.

On Saturday 6<sup>th</sup> November 2021 officers from Haringey Local Authority attended the premises and again it was apparent that another event was underway with around 250 people in attendance. Again alcohol was being sold and consumed by those present and smoking was taking place inside the venue. When a Mr Mandache was again spoken to on this occasion he attempted to mislead officers by stating that he had a Temporary Event Notice (TEN) in place, this was untrue.

Following this event, Mr Mandache was spoken to by Haringey Local Authority and whilst doing so appeared to again try to mislead officers by providing them with false details in relation to the spelling of his name and the correct postcode of his address.

On Friday 26<sup>th</sup> November 2021 Local Authority and Police Officers attended the premises, however were obstructed by security staff at the door from entering the premises.

On Tuesday 18<sup>th</sup> January 2022 police received a complaint stating that a party had taken place at the premises which had caused disruption on the High Road. This resulted in glass bottles being smashed, public intoxication and loud shouting caused by those coming from the premises.

On Monday 14<sup>th</sup> January 2022 police officers from safer neighbourhoods attended the premises as they believed a Valentine's Day Event was to take place at the venue. On arrival it was apparent that an event was due to take place, however a Mr Alin Mandache stated to officers that he had a Temporary Event Notice (TEN) in place and produced paperwork. This was not the correct paperwork stating a TEN had been acknowledged and issued. Mr Mandache was warned that the event should not go ahead as it would not be licensed, however it did.

On the evening of this event an incident took place whereby a member of public who had been inside the premises sustained serious GBH injuries. When officers initially attended the premises to carry out enquiries, they were again obstructed by security staff and again by staff inside. It was also apparent that the floors inside the venue had been recently mopped in an attempt to hide any evidence of disorder.

On Monday 28<sup>th</sup> February police had reason to attend due to a large scale fight taking place at the premises apparently involving knives. On arrival there was a wedding taking place, however no one wished to substantiate any allegations.

On Friday 4<sup>th</sup> March a wedding was held at the premises, along with regulated entertainment by way of a live singer and music. Mr Mandache was notified of the alleged breaches.

On Thursday 7<sup>th</sup> April a wedding was held at the premises for the Traveller Community, during which at 0200 hours a large scale fight broke out inside the premises, causing officers to attend and disperse all persons inside who were also heavily intoxicated.

On Wednesday 22<sup>nd</sup> June a wedding was held at the premises for the Traveller Community, during which two separate incidents took place whereby those in attendance have had physical altercations and been assaulted. Those present were also highly intoxicated and police were called to attend the premises on both occasions.

I am of the opinion that the applicant has personal connections to Mr Mandache and a Miss Cotlogut who has previously applied for a premises license and been denied. I believe Mr Stegariu is seen as a last resort for Mr Mandache and Miss Cotlogut to have involvement in the premises of which I do not deem appropriate. To date the applicant, Mr Stegariu, has not engaged with Police or the Licensing Authority and given the history at the premises of how it has previously been run, the applicant has not demonstrated to officers that he has the ability or knowledge to run such a premises with such a large capacity and late finishing hours and I

believe lacks experience in doing so. I do not hold confidence that Mr Stegariu will be competent in upholding the licensing objectives and I do not deem it appropriate for a premises license to be issued to Mr Stegariu. It is in my opinion that should a premises license be issued there will continue to be disorder and disruption caused by this venue and those running it. Due to this police reject this application.

I reserve the right to provide further information to support this representation.

Regards,



PC Kayleigh Mitcham 1230NA  
North Area Licensing Officer

Haringey Licensing Department  
River Park House  
225 High Road  
London N22 8HQ

**Your ref:**  
**Our ref** LL001/LL/0000/1141/  
**Date:** 31 May 2022  
**Contact Name:** Mr P Chenier  
**Direct Line:** 020 8489 5136

Dear Sir/Madam

**LICENSING ACT 2003**

**Location: Princess Banqueting Hall 502-508 High Road Tottenham London N17**

**Proposal: Application for premises Licence for Live Music, Recorded Music & Performance of Dance**

I refer to the above application for a premises License received in this office **31 May 2022**, in respect of the above premises.

The details have been checked for compliance with the requirements of the Regulations and other related legislation. This application has not shown to achieve the minimum standards required under the Technical Standards for Places of Entertainment, as set out in the attached schedule.

The Building Control department, would therefore like to make **Representation**, as Responsible Authority under the Licensing Act 2003, with reference to the Licensing objective for the **Protection of Public Safety**.

Should you wish to discuss this matter further please contact this office.

Yours faithfully



**P. Chenier**  
**Principal Building Surveyor**

**Date: 31 May 2022**

**LICENSING ACT 2003**

**LIST OF AMENDMENTS TO APPLICATION NO. LL/0000/1141/**

1. No accommodation numbers provided for first or second floor.
2. Clarify if second floor area can be used independently from 1<sup>st</sup> floor area.
3. Details of fireproof rating of all furnishings to be provided.
4. Details of floor coverings and underlays to be provided to show compliance with British Standard BS5438-1989 and tested to BS 4790 or Class 0.
5. Details to be provided to demonstrate that all fabrics, curtains, drapes and similar features in the main areas are either be non-combustible or be of durably or inherently flame retardant fabric.
6. Details of Input or output ventilation to be provided.
7. Heating provision details to be been submitted.
8. Details of the electrical installation including cabling type and protection to be provided.
9. No fire lobby protection provided to the main stair and unsatisfactory.
10. No Exit signage shown to doors onto the main stair.
11. Exit door to the second floor opens in the wrong direction.
12. Locks to exit doors not specified nor associated signage.
13. External exit signage to public highway not indicated.
14. Call Points not indicated at Storey exits.
15. Emergency lighting points not indicated on plan.
16. Clarify use of door adjacent bar on second floor.
17. Access of Kitchen through Store is unsatisfactory.
18. Doors to Stair, Office, Kitchen and Stores not shown to be fire doors.
19. No emergency lighting shown on outside to the public highway.
20. No signage, emergency lighting or fire alarm provision indicated to first floor areas.
21. Emergency Lighting not shown on plan.
22. Dance/performance area not defined.
23. Provision for disabled not indicated.